# 

A. ALEMAGNA\*, P. DEL BUTTERO, E. LICANDRO, S. MAIORANA and R. TRAVE

Istituto di Chimica Industriale dell'Universita', Centro CNR per la Sintesi e la Stereochimica di Speciali Sistemi Organici,
Via C.Golgi 19-20133 Milano, Italy.

(Received in UK 13 October 1983)

Abstract - The title reaction proceeds via the direct attack of the elec - trophilic reagent onto the nitrogen atom  $\beta$  to the ylidic carbon of the phosphoranes, followed by ring closure to 4-aryl-1,3,4-thiadiazoline-5-thiones, with elimination of PPh $_3$ .

In spite of the very large amount of literature on phosphoranes, little is known about the reactivity of the terms stabilized by an azo group. We have been investigating the behaviour of the arylazomethylenetriphenylphosphoranes (1)<sup>1,2</sup> for a long time, mainly because the presence of the azo group suggested the possibility of employing them in the synthesis of heterocycles.

$$ArN = N - C$$

$$PPh_3$$

$$(1)$$

$$COOCH_3$$

$$ArN - N - C$$

$$PPh_3$$

$$(1)$$

Our previous studies on these compounds were concerned with <u>inter</u> and <u>intra</u> molecular reactions with double and triple C-C bonds<sup>3</sup> and with <u>intra</u> molecular reactions with C=O groups<sup>4,5</sup>. The results showed a new and peculiar kind of reactivity of compounds (1) and were only partially in agreement with the known behaviour of the phosphoranes. We thought it interesting, therefore, to investigate the reactivity of the arylazomethylenephosphoranes toward the common electrophilic reagents. The negative charge in compounds (1), can, in principle, be delocalized on a great portion of the molecule and the electrophilic reagents may attack them, <u>a priori</u>, onto different positions. Among these reagents, CS<sub>2</sub> had already shown an interesting type of reactivity toward the ylidic carbon of non stabilized alkylidene phosphoranes<sup>6</sup>. In the case of azophosphoranes (1) an analogous reactivity could have led to the synthesis of 2-aryl-4-alkoxycarbonyl-1,2,3-thiat azoline-5-thiones.

We wish now to submit the results of our research on the reactions of phosphoranes (1 a-e) (Table 1) with  $CS_9$ . The reactions were carried out following two procedures, <u>i.e.</u>:

A)  $E_{n}$ : fluxing solutions of the arylazomethylenephosphoranes (1 a-e) in a very large excess of  $CS_{2^*}$  which acted also as the solvent.

B) Refluxing solutions in CH3CN of (1 a-d) and  $CS_2$  in large excess (about 1:10; 1:17 moles).

#### TABLE 1

Reactant	R	Reaction	Reaction time(h)	Product, wield
(1a)	Н	A	20	(2a), 9O
		В	6.5	",70
(1b)	p.CH <sub>3</sub> O	Α	30	(2b), 6O
	- 3	В	6	", 90
(1c)	p.Cl	Α	30	(2c), 70
		В	9	", 75
(1d)	p.NO2	Α	>> 72	(2d), 10
	-	В	28	", 90
(1e)	o. C=C-CO <sub>2</sub> M	e A	72	(2e), 9O

Reaction procedure, times and results are reported in Table 1.

Instead of the 1,2,3-thiadiazoline-5-thiones both A and B procedures gave the isomeric 1,3,4-thiadiazoline-5-thiones (2 a-e) as the only reaction products. The structure of these products was confirmed by elemental analysis, IR,  $^1$ H NMR, mass spectra, and by comparison with authentic samples prepared from the corresponding arylhydrazonoyl harides (3 a-e) and xantate  $^7$ . In order to check whether the reaction of our phosphoranes with CS<sub>2</sub> could take place through the intermediate formation of nitrile imine and elimination of PPh<sub>3</sub>, the nitrile imines bearing the same substituents as the phosphoranes (1 a-e) were generated in situ from the hydrazonoyl halides (3 a-e) with Et<sub>3</sub>N, and reacted with CS<sub>2</sub> following procedure A and/or B. The spiro derivatives (4 a-d), however, (Table 2), were the main reaction products from (3 a-d), while (3e) did not react with CS<sub>2</sub> and gave only the 3,4-dimethoxycarbonylbenzo[f]1,2-diazepine (5) by intra molecular cyclization Moreover, the 4-p. chlorophenyl-2-methoxycarbonyl-1,3,4-thiadiazoline-5-

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
 & \text{NH-N=C} \\
 & \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text{C} \\
 & \text{C} & \text{C} & \text$$

To our knowledge, only one example of such reaction, leading to the formation of a spiro derivative, has yet been reported.

TABLE 2 R

$$N-N$$
 $N-N$ 
 $C_{CO_2Me}$ 
 $C_{CO_2Me}$ 

 $(3a-d) \qquad \qquad (4a-d)$ 

Reactant	R	Reaction method	Reaction time(h)	Products	%Yield
(3a)	н	В	4	(4a)	70
(3b)	p.CH <sub>3</sub> O	A B	32 6	(4b) (4b)-(2b)	6 32 <b>-</b> 5
(3c)	p. C1	A	19	(4c)	50
(3d)	p.NO <sub>2</sub>	В	3	(4d)-(2d)	21-9

thione (2c) did not further react with the azophosphorane (1c), even by refluxing it in  $CH_3CN$  for 18 h, whereas by reaction with the hydrazonoyl halide (3c) and  $Et_3N$ , it gave the spiroderivative (4c). It is demonstrated, therefore, that nitrile imines are not the intermediate in the reaction of (1) to give (2), that  $CS_2$  attacks directly the nitrogen atom  $\beta$  to the ylidic carbon of the arylazophosphoranes (1) and that the ring closure follows with elimination of PPh<sub>3</sub>. (Table 1, heading).

The attack of an electrophilic agent onto a site different from the ylidic carbon in the arylazomethylenephosphoranes (1) is in agreement with their <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data <sup>9</sup>. In compounds (1 a-d), the chemical shift of the ylidic carbon ranges from 90 to 99 ppm; in the simpler phosphoranes, stabilized only by an alkoxycarbonyl function, it ranges from 29 to 33 ppm <sup>10</sup>. This difference in the chemical shift shows that the ylidic carbon in the azomethylenephosphoranes (1) bears less negative charge than in simpler alkoxycarbonyl phosphoranes.

Reaction times, although only qualitatively determined, show that all the described reactions are considerably accelerated by the presence of the polar solvent  $\mathrm{CH_3CN}^*$ , and that the reaction of the phosphoranes with  $\mathrm{CS_2}$  is favoured by the presence on the aryl moiety of electron donors, whereas it is unfavoured by the presence on the same moiety of electron withdrawing groups. This fact should be consistent with the first step of the reaction (electrophilic attack onto the N atom) beeing the rate determining one.

Research on the reactivity of the arylazomethylenephosphoranes (1) towards other electrophilic reagents is in progress.

<sup>\*</sup>In the case of (1 b-d), the solvent effect appears particularly significant also because these compounds are only slightly soluble in  ${\rm CS}_2$ .

TABLE 3

Analytical data of new compounds

Comp.	M.p.(℃) (Cryst.	Formula	Found %			Required %		
	solvent)		С	Н	N	С	Н	N
(le)	178 (MeOH)	C <sub>31</sub> H <sub>27</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> P	70.79	5.21	5.31	71.26	5.17	5.36
(2a)	12O (EtOH)	C <sub>1O</sub> H <sub>8</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	47.23	3.21	10,97	47.61	3.17	11.11
(2b)	148 (MeOH)	$C_{11}H_{10}N_2O_3S_2$	46.36	3.59	9.85	46.80	3.55	9.93
(2c)	18O-1 (C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> )	C <sub>1O</sub> H <sub>7</sub> ClN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	42.42	2.46	9.86	41.88	2.45	9.76
(2d)	18O-1 (BuOH)	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>7</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	40.88	2.38	14.31	4C. 4O	2.35	14.14
(2e)	121-2 (C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> - light petroleum)	$C_{14}^{H}_{12}^{N}_{2}^{O}_{4}^{S}_{2}$	49,80	3.61	8.25	49.95	3.57	8.33
(3d)	215-17 (CH <sub>3</sub> CN)	$C_9H_8CIN_3O_4$	42.36	3.15	16.24	41.94	3.11	16.31
(3e)	97-9 (MeOH)	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>13</sub> ClN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	52.64	4.45	9.37	52.70	4.49	9.46
(4a)	149 (EtOH)	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	53.OO	3.77	12.98	53.27	3.73	13.0
(4b)	126 (i. PrOH)	$C_{21}^{H_2}C_{4}^{N_4}C_{6}^{S_2}$	51.O6	4.14	11.32	51,64	4.10	11.47
(4c)	161-3 (MeOH)	$C_{19}^{H_1}C_{2}^{I_2}C_{4}^{I_2}$	46.O3	2.78	11.15	45.87	2.82	11.27
(4d)	22O (BuOH)	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>6</sub> O <sub>8</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	43.96	2.75	16.O9	44, O1	2.70	16.22
(5)	15O-2 (MeOH)	$C_{13}^{H_{12}N_2O_4}$	5 <b>9.</b> 96	4.57	10.68	60,00	4.61	10.7

Spectral data of new compounds

Comp.	max (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) (nujol)	<sup>1</sup> H N.M.R. (CDC1 <sub>3</sub> unless otherwise stated)
(le)	1720, 1670, 1630	3.72(3H, s), 3.78(3H, s), 5.9(1H, d, J=16), 6.8-7.8(2O H, m)
(2a)	175O	4.O5(3H, s), 7.25-7.78(5H, m)
(2b)	1745	3.9(3H, s), 4.02(3H, s), 6.99(2H, d, J=10), 7.6(2H, d, J=10)
(2c)	1745	4.06(3H,s), 7.52(2H,d,J=9), 7.75(2H,d,J=9)*
(2d)	1745	4.09(3H, s), 8.1(2H, d, J=9), 8.41(2H, d, J=9)
(2e)	1740, 1700	3.83(3H, s), 4.08(3H, s), 6.45(1H, d, J=16), 7.35-7.9(5H, m)
(3d)	326O, 17 O5	3.92(3H, s), 7.32(2H, d, J=9), 8.25(2H, d, J=9), 11.12(1H, s)**
(3e)	336O, 173O, 171O, 163O	3.87(3H, s), 3.98(3H, s), 6.42(1H, d, J=16), 7-7.77(4H, m), 7.85(1H, d, J=16), 8.57(1H, s)
(4a)	1740	
(4b)	1740, 1710	
(4c)	1745, 1720	3.9(3H, s), 7.3(4H, s)***
(4d)	1715	4(3H, s), 7.4(2H, d, J=9), 8.2(2H, d, J=9)
(5)	3285, 1720	3.85(6H,s), 6.75(1H,d,J=8), 6.9O(1H,s), 7.O6-7.4(4H,m), 7.93(1H,s)

<sup>\*</sup> Mass spectrum: m/z 286(M<sup>+</sup>)

DMSC

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Mass spectrum: m/z 497(M<sup>+</sup>)

#### EXPERIMENTAL

M.p., s were taken by means of a Buchi apparatus and are uncorrected. I.R. and N.M.R. spectra were recorded by Perkin-Elmer 377 and Varian EM-390 spectrometers respectively. Chemical shifts are expressed as  $\delta$  values (SiMe as the internal standard). Coupling constants are given in Hz. Mass spectra were taken with a Varian MAT 311-A mass spectrometer. Silica gel 60 (Merck, 70-230 mesh) was used for column chromatography. T.l.c.s were performed on Merck pre-coated silica gel 60F-254 plates.

Analytical and chemicophysical data of new compounds are reported in Table 3.

## Starting materials

Arylazomethylenetriphenylphosphoranes. (1 a-d) had already been described 1, 2b. (1e) was prepared from o aminobenzaldehyde by diazotization and reaction with two moles of Ph<sub>3</sub>P=CH-COOMe under the same conditions employed by Märkl 1.

Arylchlorohydrazones (3 a-e) were prepared by a known method 11 (3 a-c) had already been

Arylchlorohydrazones. (3 a-e) were prepared by a known method 11. (3 a-c) had already been described 2b, 12. Data for (3 d, e) are reported in Table 3.

# Reactions of arylazomethylenetriphenylphosphoranes (1) with CS,

### Procedure A

Arylazomethylenetriphenylphosphoranes (1 a-e) (2.13.10 $^{-3}$  moles) were refluxed in CS $_2$  (25 cm $^3$ ). The reaction course was monitored by t.l.c. (eluent: C $_6$ H $_6$ -EtOAc 1:1). At the end of the reaction (see times in Table 1) the excess of CS $_2$  was evaporated underreduced pressure and the residue was crystallized from light petroleum, in the case of (1a). In the other cases it was extracted with hot light petroleum, and then crystallized from the appropriate solvent. Reaction times and yields are given in Table 1.

## Procedure B

Arylazomethylenephosphoranes (1 a-d) (1.06.10<sup>-3</sup> moles) and  $CS_2$  (1.7.10<sup>-2</sup> moles) were refluxed in  $CH_3CN$  (15 cm<sup>3</sup>). The reaction course was monitored by t.l.c. (eluent:  $C_6H_6$ -EtOAc 1:1). After complete conversion, the work up was performed as described under procedure A. Reaction times and yields are reported in Table 1.

# Reactions of arylchlorohydrazones (3) with Et 3N and CS2

#### Procedure A

Arylchlorohydrazones (3 b, c) (8.26.10<sup>-3</sup> moles) and  $\rm Et_3N$  (9.75.10<sup>-3</sup> moles, 1.4 cm<sup>3</sup>) were refluxed in CS<sub>2</sub> (75 cm<sup>3</sup>). The reaction course was monitored by t.1.c. (eluent:  $\rm Et_2O$  when starting from (3b),  $\rm C_6H_6$ -EtOAc 9:1 when starting from (3c)). After complete conversion of the halohydrazones, the solutions were extracted with water and dried over  $\rm Na_2SO_4$ . The excess of CS<sub>2</sub> was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue wall crystallized to give the spiroderivatives (4 b, c). Reaction times and yields are reported in Table 2.

(3e), reacted as above for 1 h, gave the 3,4-dimethoxycarbonyl-benzo[f]1,2-diazepine (5) with a 98 % yield.

### Procedure B

Arylchlorohydrazones (3 a, b, d) (8.13.10 $^{-3}$  moles), CS<sub>2</sub> (8.13.10 $^{-2}$  moles) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (9.75.  $10^{-3}$  moles, 1.4 cm $^{2}$ ) were refluxed in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (30 cm $^{3}$ ). The reaction course was monitored

by t.l.c. (eluent: CHCl $_3$  when starting from (3a), Et $_2$ O when starting from (3b),  $C_6H_6$  when starting from (3d)). The work up was performed by evaporating the solvent and the excess of  $CS_2$  and Et $_3$ N under reduced pressure, taking up the residue in water and extracting with CHCl $_3$ . (4a) was recovered by evaporating the solvent and crystallizing the residue. From (3b) and (3d) rather complex mixture were obtained, which were separated by column chromatography (eluent: CHCl $_3$  starting from (3b),  $C_6H_6$  starting from (3d)). Reaction times and yields are reported in Table 2.

Reaction of 2-methoxycarbonyl-4-p, chlorophenyl-1, 3, 4-thiadiazoline-5-thione (2c) with hydrazonovl chloride (3c) and Et<sub>2</sub>N

To a mixture of (2c)  $(1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ moles})$  and (3c)  $(1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ moles})$  in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (30 cm<sup>3</sup>) Et<sub>3</sub>N (2.14 · 10<sup>-3</sup> moles, 0.3 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added. After 19 h (complete conversion: t.l.c.: eluent C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) the mixture was poured into water (100 cm<sup>3</sup>). The precipitate, filtered, washed with water and dried, was practically pure (4c), m.p. 157-161°C. Yield 90%.

#### REFERENCES

- G. Märkl, Tetrahedron Lett., 1961, 807.
- V. V. Kosovetsev, V. N. Chistokletov and A. A. Petrov, J. Gen. Chem., 1970, 40, 2116.
- b P. Dalla Croce, P. Del Buttero, E. Licandro and S. Maiorana, Synthesis, 1979, 299.
- A. Alemagna, P. Del Buttero, E. Licandro and S. Maiorana, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 1981, 111, 285.
- A. Alemagna, P. Del Buttero, E. Licandro, S. Maiorana and C. Guastini, <u>J. Chem. Soc., Chem.</u>
  Comm., 1983, 337.
- A. Alemagna, P. Del Buttero, E. Licandro and S. Maiorana, <u>J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm.</u>, 1981, 894.
- J. Bestmann, R. Engler, H. Hartung and K. Roth, <u>Ber.</u>, 1979, <u>112</u>, 28.
- R. Fusco and C. Musante, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 1938, 68, 147, 665.
- R. Huisgen, R. Grashey, M. Seidel, H. Knupfer and R. Schmidt, Ann., 1962, 658, 169.
- S. Bradamante and P. Del Buttero, work to be published.
- <sup>10</sup> G. A. Gray, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>., 1973, <u>95</u>, 7736.
- Houben-Weyl, Methoden der organischen Chemie, Vol. X/3, p. 538.
- R. Fusco and R. Romani, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 1946, 76, 419.